

# SCIE3001 – Tute 2

Brad Turner

School of Journalism and Communication

August 2013

# Questions to think about during the video

We will discuss them at the end

- What do you think about the title of the documentary?
- What were the main messages you got from the movie?
- What aspect of climate change do all scientists agree upon, and what aspect is there debate?
- Did you know this clearly before viewing the movie?
- Is it realistic for journalists and the public to read the peer-review literature as suggested by Dr Jones? Why or why not?
- Do most people use an evidence-based approach in making choices about their daily lives as the narrator of the movie does?
- Do you think there is a need for scientists to better communicate their science? If so, what are your suggestions for doing this?

# BBC Horizon's Science Under Attack

- <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gvB9EFdJ1d0>

# More evidence based research on the impact of communicating science

- <http://www.abc.net.au/science/>

# Finally – an interview you don't want to give

We spoke on Monday about whether the reporter should have simply kept asking Ed Miliband the question until he finally said something.

**It is worth remembering that an act of evasion can become a newsworthy event**

“I did not have sexual relations with that woman.”

“I did not inhale”

“Saddam Hussein can deploy weapons of mass destruction in 45 minutes”

**<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Uwlsd8RAoqI&feature=related>**